



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,321	040,321 08/27/2001 Eric Lapuyade		PALM-3689	5093
7590 04/14/2005			EXAMINER	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
Third Floor Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95113			2161	

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/940,321		LAPUYADE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Etienne P Lef		2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 f	December 200	14				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 December 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
2a)⊠							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Status:

Claims 1-23 remain pending in the case. Claim 1-23 are rejected in this office action as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-14, 16, 18-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 5,845,257 issued to Fu et al (hereafter Fu).

Claim 9:

Fu discloses:

a programmed processor [col 2, lines 17-29]

a display [Fig 15A]

a calendar application running on the programmed processor to store an event associated with a duration of time in which said event is to take place for a first time zone, the calendar application further operating to [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A]:

store an event time zone attribute associated with the first time zone [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A]

Art Unit: 2161

store a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and independent of events associated with said calendar application [user selected type of time, col 2, lines 42-44]

translate the duration of time associated with the event from the stored time zone attribute to the display time zone to produce a translated duration of time [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close displayed in local time] and

wherein said display is for displaying the event as occurring at the translated block of time on the display [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close displayed in local time]

Claim 10:

Fu discloses a daily time grid [Fig 15A].

Claim 11:

Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is established by receiving a message indicating that a time zone change has occurred [col 19, lines 50-55]

Claim 12:

Fu discloses wherein the establishing of the display time zone further comprises receiving an input from a user confirming a change in time zone [events can be updated if desired [col 19, lines 43-45].

Claim 13:

Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is established by a user selection through a user interface element [Fig 15A].

Claim 14:

Art Unit: 2161

Fu discloses wherein said calendar application is further operable to establish the display time zone by a user selection from a display time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [user selected type of time, col 2, lines 40-45]

Claim 16:

Fu discloses wherein said calendar application is further operable to establish the event time zone by a user selection from an event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [Fig 15A]

Claim 18:

Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is associated with a first difference between the display time zone and the Greenwich Mean Time; and wherein the event time zone is associated with a second difference between the event time zone and Greenwich Mean Time; and wherein the translating comprises finding a difference between the first and the second difference [Fig 15B].

Claim 19:

Fu discloses:

a programmed processor [col 2, lines 17-29]

a display [Fig 15A]

a user interface [Fig 15A]

a calendar application running on the programmed processor to store an event associated with a block of time [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A], the calendar application further operating to:

Art Unit: 2161

store an event time zone attribute associated with the first time zone [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A]

store a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and is independent of events associated with said calendar application [user selected type of time, col 2, lines 42-44].

wherein said display is for displaying the event as occurring at the translated block of time on the display [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close displayed in local time]

wherein the display time zone is established by a user from a display time zone user interface forming part of the user interface [user manually changes time zone, col 19, line 50]

wherein the event time zone is established by a user selection from an event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [Fig 15A, user enters event time under home, local or remote time displays]

Claim 20:

Fu discloses wherein the display time zone may be established by receiving a message indicating that a time zone change has occurred, and receiving an input from a user confirming a change in time zone [col 19, lines 50-55, col 19, lines 43-45]

Claim 21:

Fu discloses wherein the event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface comprises an event time zone menu [Fig 15A, user enters home time or remote time]

Claim 23:

Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is associated with a first difference between the display time zone and Greenwich Mean Time, and wherein the event time zone is associated

Art Unit: 2161

with a second difference between the event time zone and Greenwich Mean Time and wherein the translating comprises finding a difference between the first difference and the second difference [Fig 15B, Fig 9, col 6, lines 28-45]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu in view of Pub No US 2002/0116541 issued to Parker et al (hereafter Parker).

Claim 1:

Fu discloses:

storing an event, said event comprising a start time based on a first time zone [Fig 15A, home/local time zone]

establishing a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and independent of events user selected type of time, col 2, lines 42-44] associated with said calendar application [Fig 15A, local time zone]

translating the start time from said first time zone to the display time zone to produce a translated start time [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close translated from 4:50PM remote time to 1:50 pm local time]

displaying the event as occurring at the translated start time [Fig 15A]

Art Unit: 2161

Fu discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention as noted above but fails to disclose an event comprising an end time. Parker discloses an event comprising an end time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at the time the invention was made to modify Fu to include an event comprising an end time as taught by Parker for the purpose of providing more complete details regarding an event. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Fu per the above such that the user is able to more accurately plan his/her events by including both the start time and the end time.

Claim 2:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses a daily time grid [Fig 15A].

Claim 3:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is established by a user selection through a user interface element [Fig 15A].

Claim 4:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is established by receiving a message indicating that a time zone change has occurred [col 19, lines 50-55]

Claim 5:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 1 and 4 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the message is received from a network service provider [EarthTime module, col 2, lines 17-29].

Art Unit: 2161

Claim 6:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 1 and 4 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the establishing of the display time zone further comprises receiving an input from a user confirming a change in time zone [events can be updated if desired, col 19, lines 43-45].

Claim 7:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses the method is carried out in a palmtop computer [col 2, lines 17-29]

Claim 8:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses an electronic storage medium storing instructions when carried out on a programmed processor [col 2, lines 17-29]

4. Claims 15, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu in view of US Pat No 6,631,402 issued to Devine et al (hereafter Devine).

Claim 15:

Fu discloses the elements of claims 9, 13 and 14 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fu to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of

Art Unit: 2161

the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system.

Claim 17:

Fu discloses the elements of claims 9,13 and 16 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fu to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system. Claim 22:

Fu discloses the elements of claim 19 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fu to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system.

Art Unit: 2161

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/2004 have been fully considered and found persuasive on the basis of Applicant's most recent claim amendments. Applicant's claim amendments necessitated a new search and examiner provides above new art rejection based on the search results obtained

Examiner notes Applicant's most recent amendment which includes "wherein said display zone is user customizable and independent of events associated with the calendar application." The above limitation is confusing because it is difficult to comprehend a display time zone that is independent of the calendar application. Examiner maintains the display time zone is an integral part of the calendar application. The time zone display may be selectable by the user but is always selected by the user based on easily discernible start and end time of the events programmed into the calendar application. During above examination, examiner assumed the user display time zone is user customizable for selecting the display at any one of a plurality of time zones associated with the events stored in the calendar application. Fu clearly discloses the user display time zone is user customizable for selecting the display at any one of a plurality of time zones associated with the events stored in the calendar application.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2161

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Etienne LeRoux

4/8/2005

SAFET METJAHIC

SORY PATENT EXAMINER

DLOGY CENTER 2100